

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/846,994	05/01/2001	Richard E. Hunter	0095-194	3915	
75	590 08/18/2003				
Michael H Jester			EXAMINER		
A Professional 505D Grand Ca	Law Corporation aribe Causeway		HWU, DAVIS D		
Coronado, CA 92118-3303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	10	
			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	19	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

•		an
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/846,994	HUNTER ET AL	
Examiner	 Art Unit	
Davis Hwu	3752	

	The MAILING DATE of t	his communication appears	on the cover she	eet with the corresponder	ice address
Period fo	or Reply				

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will apply and will expire 31x (o) MONTAS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-30</u> is/are pending in the application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-20</u> is/are allowed.	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21,22,24,25 and 28-30</u> is/are rejected.	
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23,26 and 27</u> is/are objected to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.	
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment(s)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 19

Application/Control Number: 09/846,994

Art Unit: 3752

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. Applicant's election of claims 21-30 is acknowledged. Claims 1-15 and 17-30 are currently pending. Applicant is kindly reminded that all non-elected claims must be cancelled before this application can be issued.
- 2. All of the appropriate 35 USC paragraphs can be found in the office action of September 11, 2002.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 21, 22, 24, 25, 28, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rupar in view of Ludwig et al.

The patent to Rupar discloses a sprinkler comprising:

- a supply line having pressurized fluid, the supply line being connected to a sprinkler;
- a detector in the form of a riser which detects whether pressurized fluid is
 entering the sprinkler in which the riser will rise when water is detected;
- a brake which prevents over-spinning of a turbine upon detection of the entry into the sprinkler of pressurized water, whereby damage to various mechanical components is avoided.

Rupar does not disclose pressurizing at least one supply line to remove water therefrom. The patent to Ludwig et al. teaches a method of cleaning and removing water from a sprinkling system by using air to evacuate the system. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied to the device of Rupar the method of removing water from the system by



Application/Control Number: 09/846,994

Art Unit: 3752

providing pressurized air to evacuate the system as taught by Ludwig et al. to prevent damage from freezing of leftover water. The duration as recited in claim 29 is a matter of user choice. Regarding claim 30, the device of Rupar is fully capable of carrying out the steps as recited in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 23, 26, 27 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 1-15 and 17-20 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Davis Hwu whose telephone number is 703-305-1663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y. Mar can be reached on (703)308-2087. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9302 for regular communications and (703)872-9303 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0861.

Davis Hwu

August 11, 2003